With reference to para 4.18 of the Draft Conceptual Framework, it is suggested to include the following items in the definitions of Assets :
i) Items generated in the production process in the past and lying idle without any commercial value (in the nature of waste material) due to no technology for commercial use of the item or due to poor technology such item could not be used or due to non-availability of adequate technological resources such item has no commercial value. Now presently, it is observed that there have been evolution of adequate technology and due to availability of technological resources, these items are in demand for use of inputs in own production process or demanded by outside market for trade. In other words, such items now have been infused with commercial value. As such, the items which were lying idle without any potential to bring commercial resources in the past but now has been infused with potential to produce future economic benefits/resources.
ii) Or; there are certain items lying idle without being traded in the past due to imposition of an embargo put up by Govt/statutory bodies and now such embargo is withdrawn. Due to withdrawal of such embargo, the corporates having right to sell the items, such items which were earlier with no potential now been able to bring/expected to bring future economic resources.

It does not attract any additional cost as well to /events There is a close association between incurring expenditure and acquiring assets, but the two do not necessarily coincide. Hence, when an entity incurs expenditure, this may provide evidence that the entity has sought future economic benefits, but does not provide conclusive proof that the entity has obtained an asset. Similarly, the absence of related expenditure does not preclude an item from meeting the definition of an asset. Assets can include, for example, rights that a government has granted to the entity free of charge or that another party has donated to the entity.

